

An Introduction to Kostant's convexity theorem

Joseph Malkoun

NDU

April 7th, 2015

- 1 The Schur-Horn theorem
 - Hermitian matrices
 - The Schur-Horn theorem
- 2 Lie groups and Lie algebras
 - Lie groups
 - Lie algebras
 - Killing form
 - Semisimple Lie algebras
 - Cartan subalgebras
 - Maximal torus and Weyl group
- 3 Kostant's convexity theorem
- 4 Further generalizations

Hermitian matrices: their basic properties (1)

Definition

An hermitian n by n matrix A is a complex n by n matrix satisfying $A^* = A$, where $A^* = \bar{A}^T$.

Proposition

Eigenvalues of an hermitian matrix are real.

Proof.

Let $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ (A hermitian).
Then

$$\bar{v}^T A v = \lambda \bar{v}^T v = \lambda \|v\|^2$$

Taking the conjugate transpose of the previous equation shows that

$$\bar{v}^T A^* v = \bar{\lambda} \|v\|^2 \Rightarrow \bar{\lambda} = \lambda$$

Hermitian matrices: their basic properties (2)

On \mathbb{C}^n , define the following map $\langle -, - \rangle: \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_{\alpha} \bar{w}_{\alpha}$$

$\langle -, - \rangle$ is called the standard hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . It has the following properties:

- ① $\langle c_1 \mathbf{z}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{w} \rangle = c_1 \langle \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{w} \rangle + c_2 \langle \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
- ② $\langle \mathbf{z}, c_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle = \bar{c}_1 \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle + \bar{c}_2 \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle$
- ③ $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \rangle}$
- ④ $0 \neq \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle > 0$

Hermitian matrices: their basic properties (3)

Proposition

Eigenvectors v_1, v_2 of an hermitian matrix A corresponding to different eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 , are orthogonal (i.e. $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = 0$).

Proof.

$\bar{v}_1^T A v_2 = \lambda_2 \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle$ and also

$\bar{v}_1^T A v_2 = \bar{v}_1^T A^* v_2 = (\overline{A v_1})^T v_2 = \lambda_1 \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle$. Hence

$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle = 0$. But $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, so that $\langle v_2, v_1 \rangle = 0$, and

therefore $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \overline{\langle v_2, v_1 \rangle} = 0$, as claimed. □

The finite-dimensional spectral theorem

Definition

An n by n matrix U is said to be unitary if $U^*U = \text{Id}$.

Theorem

An hermitian n by n matrix A is unitarily diagonalizable. More precisely, there exist a diagonal matrix D and a unitary matrix U , such that $A = UDU^ = UDU^{-1}$. Then D consists of the n (real) eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ in some order in its diagonal entries, and U consists of corresponding eigenvectors.*

Proof.

One can prove it by induction, using the fact that eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ are orthogonal, with respect to the standard hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n , and a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization in each eigenspace of dimension greater than 1. □

The Schur-Horn theorem (1)

Given an n by n hermitian matrix A , one can compute its eigenvalues $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, which are determined up to a permutation, and one can also extract the diagonal vector $\mathbf{d} = (a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The Schur-Horn theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions for two vectors λ and \mathbf{d} in \mathbb{R}^n to be respectively the eigenvalues and diagonal for some hermitian matrix.

The Schur-Horn theorem (2)

Theorem (Schur-Horn theorem)

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be two points in \mathbb{R}^n . Reorder the λ_i , so that the reordered λ_i , which we denote by a prime, satisfy $\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda'_n$. Do the same for the d_i , so that $d'_1 \geq d'_2 \geq \dots \geq d'_n$. Then there exists a hermitian n by n matrix A having as eigenvalues λ and as diagonal \mathbf{d} iff

$$d'_1 \leq \lambda'_1 \tag{2.1}$$

$$d'_1 + d'_2 \leq \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 \tag{2.2}$$

$$\vdots \tag{2.3}$$

$$d'_1 + \dots + d'_{n-1} \leq \lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_{n-1} \tag{2.4}$$

$$d'_1 + \dots + d'_n = \lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_n \tag{2.5}$$

The Schur-Horn theorem (3)

A subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be convex if given any two points \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 in C , the points $(1 - t)\mathbf{x}_1 + t\mathbf{x}_2 \in C$ for all t such that $0 \leq t \leq 1$. In other words, the line segment joining any two points \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 in C must be entirely contained in C . Given a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we define its convex hull \hat{S} as the smallest convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n containing S . A convex polytope is the convex hull of a finite set S . As geometer D. Coxeter used to say, the term polytope is the general term of the sequence: “point”, “polygon”, “polyhedron”, etc.

The Schur-Horn theorem (4)

Let Σ_n be the group of all permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Σ_n acts on \mathbb{R}^n by permuting the n standard coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n of \mathbb{R}^n . Given two points λ and \mathbf{d} in \mathbb{R}^n , as in the Schur-Horn theorem, it turns out that the geometric conditions in that theorem are equivalent to \mathbf{d} being in the convex hull of the orbit $\Sigma_n \cdot \lambda$, the latter convex hull being a convex polytope, since the orbit $\Sigma_n \cdot \lambda$ is finite.

The Schur-Horn theorem (5)

We can now reformulate the Schur-Horn theorem in more geometric terms.

Theorem (Schur-Horn, reformulated)

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be two points in \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists a hermitian n by n matrix A having as eigenvalues λ and as diagonal \mathbf{d} iff \mathbf{d} is in the convex hull \hat{S} of S , where

$$S = \{(\lambda_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}); \sigma \in \Sigma_n\}$$

Basic notions (1)

Definition (manifold)

A smooth n -manifold M is a second countable Hausdorff space, covered by local charts $\{(U, f_U)\}$, where $U \subseteq M$ is open and $f_U : U \rightarrow U'$, $U' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open, and f_U homeomorphism, such that the transition function $f_V \circ f_U^{-1}$, restricted to $f_U(U \cap V)$ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism from $f_U(U \cap V)$ onto $f_V(U \cap V)$, whenever $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

From now on, we always assume that our manifolds are finite-dimensional. In particular, Lie groups will be finite-dimensional, etc.

Basic notions (2)

Definition (Lie group)

A Lie group G is a group which is also a smooth manifold, such that both multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$ and the map $G \rightarrow G$ sending g to g^{-1} are both C^∞ .

Examples of Lie groups (1)

Example (orthogonal groups)

The real orthogonal group $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ is defined by

$$O(n) = \{g \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}); g^T g = \text{Id}\}$$

If $(-, -)$ denotes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n , then the orthogonal group is the group of all linear automorphisms g of \mathbb{R}^n which preserve $(-, -)$, i.e. such that $(g(\mathbf{v}), g(\mathbf{w})) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ for any $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $g \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$, then $\det(g) = \pm 1$ (by taking the determinant on both sides of $g^T g = \text{Id}$). The group $O(n)$ has two connected components. The special orthogonal group $SO(n)$ is $SO(n) = \{g \in O(n); \det(g) = 1\}$. The Lie group $SO(n)$ is a compact *connected* Lie group of (real) dimension $n(n - 1)/2$ (while $O(n)$ is not connected).

Examples of Lie groups (2)

Example (unitary groups)

The unitary group $U(n)$ is defined by

$$U(n) = \{g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}); g^*g = \text{Id}\}$$

Using the standard hermitian inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ defined earlier, the group $U(n)$ is the group of \mathbb{C} -linear automorphisms g of \mathbb{C}^n which preserve $\langle -, - \rangle$, i.e. $\langle g(\mathbf{v}), g(\mathbf{w}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$. By taking the determinant on both sides of the defining equation, we get that $|\det(g)| = 1$ for all $g \in U(n)$. We define also define $SU(n) = \{g \in U(n); \det(g) = 1\}$. $U(n)$ and $SU(n)$ are compact connected Lie groups of real dimensions n^2 and $n^2 - 1$ respectively.

Lie algebras

Definition

A (real) Lie algebra is a pair $(V, [-, -])$ where V is a real vector space, and $[-, -] : V \times V \rightarrow V$ is a map satisfying:

- 1 (bilinearity) for any fixed $x \in V$, $[x, -] : V \rightarrow V$ is \mathbb{R} -linear, and similarly $[-, x] : V \rightarrow V$ is \mathbb{R} -linear,
- 2 (skew-symmetry) for any $x, y \in V$, $[x, y] = -[y, x]$,
- 3 (Jacobi identity) for any $x, y, z \in V$,
 $[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0$.

The Lie algebra associated to a Lie group (1)

Proposition

One can associate to any Lie group G a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of the same dimension.

If G is a Lie group, denote by $\mathfrak{g} = T_1(G)$, where 1 is the identity element of G . We wish to define a Lie bracket $[-, -] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. First we define the Adjoint action of G on itself. For every $g \in G$, define $Ad_g : G \rightarrow G$ by $Ad_g(g_1) = gg_1g^{-1}$. Then Ad is a smooth group action of G on itself. It is also clear that $Ad_g(1) = 1$ for any $g \in G$. For a given $g \in G$, one can differentiate Ad_g at 1 , this gives an \mathbb{R} -linear map $(Ad_g)_* : T_1(G) \rightarrow T_1(G)$, in other words $(Ad_g)_* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. This is the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} .

The Lie algebra associated to a Lie group (2)

We now differentiate again $(Ad_g)_*$ at $g = 1$. This gives an \mathbb{R} -linear map $ad : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$ called the adjoint action of \mathfrak{g} on itself. We now define $[x, y] = ad_x(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. One can check that this bracket is actually a Lie bracket. $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ is the Lie algebra associated to G .

The Lie algebra associated to a Lie group (3)

From the previous proof, we see that a Lie group G acts on its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , where an element $g \in G$ acts via $(Ad_g)_* \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Actually, for any $g \in G$, Ad_g is invertible, with inverse $Ad_{g^{-1}}$. It is customary to drop the star from the notation, and simply write Ad_g , for the action of g on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

The Adjoint action, more concretely (1)

Now is a good time to look at matrix groups, to make things more concrete. By a (real) matrix group, we mean a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, the general linear group consisting of real linear automorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n .

$GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is itself a Lie group of dimension n^2 . If $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, then for $g, g_1 \in G$, we have $Ad_g(g_1) = gg_1g^{-1}$, using matrix multiplication.

Writing $g_1 = \text{Id} + ty$, where $y \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the space of all n by n real matrices, we get $Ad_g(g_1) = Ad_g(\text{Id} + ty) = \text{Id} + tAd_g(y) = \text{Id} + tgyg^{-1}$, so that the Adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} is given by $Ad_g(y) = gyg^{-1}$. Replacing g by $\text{Id} + \tau x$, where $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Ad_{\text{Id} + \tau x}(y) &= (\text{Id} + \tau x)y(\text{Id} + \tau x)^{-1} \\ &= (\text{Id} + \tau x)y(\text{Id} - \tau x + O(\tau^2)) \\ &= y + \tau(xy - yx) + O(\tau^2) \end{aligned}$$

The Adjoint action, more concretely (2)

Summarizing, if $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, then the Adjoint action of G on its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is given by $Ad_g(y) = gyg^{-1}$, where $g \in G$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}$, and the adjoint action of \mathfrak{g} on itself is given by $ad_x(y) = [x, y] = xy - yx$. We shall be interested in the Adjoint action of G on the dual \mathfrak{g}^* of \mathfrak{g} . An element $g \in G$ maps $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ to $Ad_{g^{-1}}^*(\xi) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, which satisfies $(x, Ad_{g^{-1}}^*(\xi)) = (Ad_{g^{-1}}(x), \xi) = (g^{-1}xg, \xi)$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $(-, -)$ here denotes the natural pairing $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (which is a non-degenerate bilinear pairing).

Some examples of Lie algebras (1)

We have previously defined the special orthogonal groups $SO(n)$. We now wish to work out its associated Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. We have two equations to differentiate, $g^T g = \text{Id}$ and $\det(g) = 1$. Replace g by $\text{Id} + tx$, where x is a real n by n matrix, and t is a small real parameter. Then $(\text{Id} + tx)^T (\text{Id} + tx) = \text{Id}$, so $\text{Id} + t(x^T + x) + O(t^2) = \text{Id}$, from which we deduce that $x + x^T = 0$. In other words, x is skew-symmetric. Let us consider now the remaining equation $\det(g) = 1$. This gives $\det(\text{Id} + tx) = 1$, so $1 + t \text{tr}(x) + O(t^2) = 1$, from which we deduce that $\text{tr}(x) = 0$. But this was already implied by the equation $x + x^T = 0$ by taking the trace on both sides.

Some examples of Lie algebras (2)

We have thus found that

$$\mathfrak{so}(n) = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}); x + x^T = 0\}$$

We can now easily see that the dimension of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is $n(n-1)/2$, which is also the dimension of $SO(n)$. Being a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, it follows that the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is also the commutator $[x, y] = xy - yx$, for $x, y \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$.

Some examples of Lie algebras (3)

In a similar way, we find that

$$\mathfrak{u}(n) = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}); x + x^* = 0\}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ consists of skew-hermitian complex n by n matrices. We also have

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \{x \in \mathfrak{u}(n); \operatorname{tr}(x) = 0\}$$

The Lie brackets for both $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ are given by the commutator $[x, y] = xy - yx$. We can now compute the real dimension of $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ to be n^2 , and that of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ to be $n^2 - 1$. We also remark that multiplication by i identifies the space of hermitian n by n matrices with the space of n by n skew-hermitian matrices, the latter being $\mathfrak{u}(n)$. This already provides a clue as to how Kostant generalized the Schur-Horn theorem!

The Killing form of a Lie algebra

Given a (real) Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$, for each element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $ad_x \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$. The Killing form of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the map $(-, -) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(x, y) = \text{tr}(ad_x \circ ad_y)$$

The Killing form $(-, -)$ is a symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} .

Some examples of Killing forms (1)

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. Using the definition and a small computation, one can show that its Killing form is

$$(x, y) = (n - 2) \operatorname{tr}(xy)$$

We remark that $(x, x) = (n - 2) \operatorname{tr}(x^2) = -(n - 2) \operatorname{tr}(x^T x) < 0$ if $x \neq 0$, so that the Killing form of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is negative-definite.

Some examples of Killing forms (2)

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(n)$. Its Killing form can be shown to be

$$(x, y) = 2(n \operatorname{tr}(xy) - \operatorname{tr}(x) \operatorname{tr}(y))$$

which is a real-valued negative semi-definite bilinear form, but degenerate. Indeed, $i \operatorname{Id} \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$, and $(x, i \operatorname{Id}) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$. On the other hand, the Killing form of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ is

$$(x, y) = 2n \operatorname{tr}(xy)$$

which is negative-definite.

Semisimple Lie algebras (1)

Definition

A subset $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be an ideal of \mathfrak{g} if $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{I}] \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$. A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be simple if it is non-abelian (its Lie bracket does not vanish identically) and if its only ideals are \mathfrak{g} and $\mathbf{0}$. If $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2$ are two Lie algebras, then their direct sum $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is also a Lie algebra, with Lie bracket defined by $[(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)] = ([x_1, y_1]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, [x_2, y_2]_{\mathfrak{g}_2})$. A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be semisimple if it is the direct sum of simple Lie algebras.

Semisimple Lie algebras (2)

The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ ($n \geq 2$) and $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ ($n \geq 3$) are semisimple. The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ are not semisimple, since they have a non-trivial center (the center of \mathfrak{g} consists of all elements $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[x, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$). It is interesting to note that while $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is simple for $n = 3$ and for $n \geq 5$, but for $n = 4$, something interesting happens:

$$\mathfrak{so}(4) = \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$$

Cartan's criterion

Theorem (Cartan's criterion)

A Lie algebra is semisimple iff its Killing form is non-degenerate.

We have seen that the Killing forms of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ are negative-definite, and therefore non-degenerate, so that $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ are semisimple, as previously claimed.

Cartan subalgebras

We now return to the general setting: let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra (not necessarily semisimple).

Definition

\mathfrak{g} is said to be nilpotent if the sequence defined by $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}_n = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}]$ is $\mathbf{0}$ after finitely many n . A subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be a subalgebra if it is closed under the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} . A subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} is said to be self-normalizing if whenever $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies $[x, \mathfrak{h}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$, then $x \in \mathfrak{h}$. A subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} is said to be a Cartan subalgebra if it is nilpotent and self-normalizing.

Maximal torus of a compact Lie group

Let G be a compact Lie group.

Definition

A torus $T \subseteq G$ is a compact connected abelian Lie subgroup of G . A torus T in G is said to be maximal if it is maximal in the sense of inclusion (so that there does not exist a torus T' containing T other than T itself).

Given a torus T in G , the Weyl group $W(T, G)$ is defined to be

$$W(T, G) = N(T)/C(T)$$

where $N(T)$ is the normalizer of T in G , and $Z(T)$ is the centralizer of T in G . It turns out that if G is a compact connected Lie group, then any two maximal tori are conjugate by some element in G .

Examples of maximal tori and Weyl groups (1)

- If $G = U(n)$, then the diagonal matrices in $U(n)$, namely matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{i\theta_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

form a maximal torus T in $U(n)$. Then the Weyl group $W(T, G) \simeq \Sigma_n$, the permutation group on n elements.

- If $G = SU(n)$, then the diagonal matrices in that group also form a maximal torus T . They consist of matrices of the same form, but also having determinant 1. We also have $W(T, G) \simeq \Sigma_n$.

Examples of maximal tori and Weyl groups (2)

- If $G = SO(2n)$, then a maximal torus T is given by matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -b_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & a_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_n & -b_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_n & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_i^2 + b_i^2 = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, the Weyl group $W(T, G) \simeq \Sigma_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$, with $\sigma s_i s_j \sigma^{-1} = s_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} s_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}$, for $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ and $s_i s_j \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$ ($i \neq j$) has all ones except at i and j .

Examples of maximal tori and Weyl groups (3)

- If $G = SO(2n + 1)$, then a maximal torus T is given by matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & -b_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 & a_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_n & -b_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_n & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_i^2 + b_i^2 = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, the Weyl group $W(T, G) \simeq \Sigma_n \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, with $\sigma s_i \sigma^{-1} = s_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$, for $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ and $s_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) has all ones except at i .

Kostant's convexity theorem (1)

This section draws material from an article by Francois Ziegler in the Proceedings of the AMS, entitled "On the Kostant convexity theorem" (1992). Let G be a compact connected Lie group, T a maximal torus of G , with associated Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{t} . Let $\pi : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the natural projection. Then \mathfrak{t}^* can be identified with the subspace of all T -fixed points in \mathfrak{g}^* . Every coadjoint X of G intersects \mathfrak{t}^* in a Weyl group orbit Ω_X . B. Kostant has proved that

Theorem (Kostant convexity theorem)

$\pi(X)$ is the convex hull of Ω_X .

Kostant's convexity theorem (2)

Let us apply the theorem to $G = U(n)$ and T consisting of all unitary n by n diagonal matrices. The real-valued symmetric bilinear form $(-, -)$ on \mathfrak{g} mapping $(x, y) = \text{tr}(x^*y)$ is positive definite and Ad_G -invariant. It allows us to identify $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$. We have already seen that by multiplying by i , the space of hermitian n by n matrices can be identified with \mathfrak{g} , the latter being the space of n by n skew-hermitian matrices.

Kostant's convexity theorem (3)

Coadjoint orbits correspond to isospectral sets of hermitian matrices, which are hermitian matrices having the same fixed eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. In this case, the image of a coadjoint orbit under π just consists of the diagonals of all the matrices in an isospectral set of hermitian matrices. On the other hand, the Weyl orbit Ω_X just consists of $\Sigma_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. This shows that Kostant's convexity theorem is indeed a Lie-theoretic generalization of the Schur-Horn theorem.

Further generalizations

Atiyah and independently Guillemin and Sternberg proved, almost simultaneously in 1982, a generalization of Kostant's convexity theorem in the setting of compact symplectic manifolds having a hamiltonian toric action. The image of such a manifold under the moment map is then also a convex polytope (more precisely, it is the convex hull of the images of the fixed points of the manifold under the torus action).

And finally...

Thank you!!!